## **EPA Official Record**

Notes ID: B391F2CEF16B9EFF852578690054642B

From: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US

To: "Rigassio-Smith, Anita" < Anita. Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>

Delivered Date: 05/12/2010 09:20 AM EDT

Subject: Re: CDF O&M Cost

Anita - I agree each and every year for 30 years is overkill. But I think the CDFs will require a bit more O&M than the CAD cell (mowing, etc.). How about we assume annual for the first 5 years (will dovetail well with the 5 year review), then every other year for 10 years, then every 5 years for the last 15 years.

## Dave

"Rigassio-Smith, Anita" ---05/11/2010 05:33:25 PM---Hi Dave, With GW monitoring and published RCRA Subtitle D O&M costs (I haven't found a Subtitle C O&

From: "Rigassio-Smith, Anita" < Anita. Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/11/2010 05:33 PM Subject: CDF O&M Cost

Hi Dave,

With GW monitoring and published RCRA Subtitle D O&M costs (I haven't found a Subtitle C O&M cost yet), I'm getting an annual cost of  $\sim$ \$190K for O&M of the 3 CDFs (16 monitoring wells and 22 acres of cap). Performing this annually for 30 years seems a bit much, so I am considering reducing the O&M cost to a frequency similar to what we are using for the LHCC O&M: namely, annually years 1-3, bi-annually years 4-10, and every 5 years in years 11-30. With the reduced frequency, over 30 years we get a PV cost of  $\sim$ \$1.6M, which seems about right to me.

What do you think?

Anita

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If

you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.